

Music Training Program: A Method Based on Language Development and Principles of Neuroscience to Optimize Speech and Language Skills in Hearing-Impaired Children

Samaneh Sadat Dastgheib¹, Mina Riyassi², Maryam Anvari², Hamid Tayarani Niknezhad³,
Masumeh Hoseini³, *Mohsen Rajati², Mohammad Mahdi Ghasemi²

Abstract

Introduction:

In recent years, music has been employed in many intervention and rehabilitation program to enhance cognitive abilities in patients. Numerous researches show that music therapy can help improving language skills in patients including hearing impaired. In this study, a new method of music training is introduced based on principles of neuroscience and capabilities of Persian language to optimize language development in deaf children after implantation.

Materials and Methods:

The candidate children are classified in three groups according to their hearing age and language development. The music training program is established and centered on four principles, as follows: hearing and listening to music (with special attention to boost hearing), singing, rhythmic movements with music and playing musical instruments.

Results:

Recently much research has demonstrated that even after cochlear implant operation, a child cannot acquire language to the same level of detail as a normal child. As a result of this study music could compensate this developmental delay. It is known that the greater the area of the brain that is activated, the more synaptic learning and plasticity changes occur in that specific area. According to the principles of neural plasticity, music could improve language skills by activating the same areas for language processing in the brain.

Conclusion:

In conclusion, the effects of music on the human brain seem to be very promising and therapeutic in various types of disorders and conditions, including cochlear implantation.

Keywords:

Cochlear implantation, Hearing loss, Language development, Music therapy, Neuroscience.

Received date: 20 Jan 2013

Accepted date: 18 Feb 2013

¹Shefa Neuroscience Research Center, Tehran, Iran.

²Sinus and Surgical Endoscopic Research Center, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran.

³ PhD Student in Linguistics International Campus of Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran

*Corresponding Author:

Quaem Hospital, Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran.

Tel: +985118012689, E-mail: rajatim@mums.ac.ir

Introduction

Music is an integral part of every culture, constantly weaving in and out of daily life. It is documented that the human brain is “hard-wired” for music; thus providing a biological basis for the importance of music in the human experience (1). The impact of music in children’s lives may be demonstrated via children’s literature in different languages, through lullabies and traditional plays. Recent studies have reported many benefits of music therapy in managing different diseases, particularly in disorders related to the central nervous system (2–5).

Widespread research has studied the impact of music on the brain and its role in neural cell growth. The process of music interpretation in the human brain is very similar to that of language processing. Indeed, many language areas overlap with those of music. The basic concepts of music such as frequency, intensity, and tension levels are perceived via the primary auditory area, while the higher concepts such as musical phrases are processed in the secondary and association areas which closely overlap areas of language (1-4). Thus triggering the initial hearing and language processing centers with the use of music may engage cochlear-implant children with language concepts so that they can learn earlier and in a more natural way. In this paper we describe an educational method based on language development processing and advances in cognitive neuroscience to help children with impaired hearing in language acquisition.

Materials and Methods

The music training program established by the authors is centered on four principles, as follows:

1. Hearing and listening to music (with special attention to boost hearing)
2. Singing
3. Rhythmic movements with music
4. Playing musical instruments

It is recommended that children who are candidates for this method of rehabilitation

are classified into three subgroups according to their hearing age and level of language development. These subgroups are:

A. These children have a hearing age of 0–1 year. According to their language development, these children are able to hear and listen to environmental and speech sounds. They also have the ability to separate speech sounds from non-speech sounds, but their ability to discriminate among all the sounds of their mother-tongue language is not as evident as in their normal peers. Children in this group are sensitive to a number of prosodic features of their language, such as vowel duration and pitch peaks. Based on their hearing age, these children may perceive some patterns and segments of sounds in syllabic structures and understand some limited words. Details of the individualized music training program for these children are provided (Table 1).

B. These children have a hearing age of 2, 3, or 4 years. They have considerable experience of sounds, and are able to detect, discriminate, identify, and recognize them. Their ability to identify and recognize meaningful words in closed and open-set is fully evident. These children are also able to understand single words in complicated language patterns such as phrases and simple sentences, but they fail to understand the entire phrase or simple sentence. Details of the individualized music training program for these children are provided (Table 2).

C. These children have a hearing age of 5, 6, or 7 years. Children in this group cannot only understand and follow meaningful words in many phrases, simple, and complicated sentences but they can also perceive most parts of these sentences. However, the children in this group cannot coordinate between more complex language structures and the situations in which every structure is used (lack of pragmatic skills). Details of the individualized music training program for these children are provided (Table 3).

Table1: Musical Training Program Individualized for Subgroup A.



Principle	Musical Training Program Individualized for Subgroup A
Hearing and listening	<p>1. The children are encouraged to listen to various environmental sounds in different environments under the teacher’s guidance. For instance, the teacher takes the children to a street and attracts their attention to the sounds of cars as well as police and ambulance alarms.</p> <p>2. Discovery and production of simple musical sounds via the use of percussion instruments. The child is encouraged to beat drums him/herself and listen to the sound produced.</p> <p>3. Discovery and production of sounds within human body, such as clapping hands or striking the ground. The child is encouraged to clap hands and strike the ground and listen to the sound he/she produces while a song is sung by the teacher. This song is predominantly based on rhythm without melody. However, a poem is sung at the end of this section using simple melodic music. The rhythm and music followed, respectively, are shown below:</p>  <p>4. Every session of the course is started with a musical greeting. The melody of this greeting is based on the third interval in the Do major scale, as shown below. This melody is also used by the teacher as the basic melody for other communications in class.</p> 
Singing	<p>1. The children are encouraged repeat vowels after the teacher.</p> <p>2. When the children produce vowels, the teacher guides them to generate rhythms with syllables through the use of percussion instruments, and thus produce syllable-like sequences, such as “da da da da”.</p> <p>3. Meaningful linguistic patterns such as a cat’s voice, “meow meow”, are produced by the teacher in a rhythmic way and the children are asked to repeat these syllables after their teacher.</p>
Rhythmic movements with music	<p>1. The children are asked to pay attention to the sounds of the human body as they perform simple rhythmic movement such as clapping and striking the ground, while the teachers sings the melody and rhythm described above.</p>
Playing musical instruments	<p>1. The children are taught to play a rhythmic pattern through the use of percussion instruments and learn to discriminate and perform different features of music, tension and intensity, except the melody.</p>

Table 2: Musical Training Program Individualized for Subgroup B.

Principle	Musical Training Program Individualized for Subgroup B
Hearing and listening	<p>1. Various environmental sounds are presented to the children, as in the case of children classified in subgroup A; however a greater number of environments, such as the sea, farm, or mountains, are included in the schedule. If these environments are not convenient for an educational center, it is recommended that this section is performed using posters and maquettes. These maquettes are small models of any objects or animals representing a particular environment. Maquettes of a boat or a cow are suitable for teaching words and sounds related to sea or farm respectively.</p> <p>2. Discovery and production of simple musical sounds via the use of percussion instruments. The child is encouraged to beat drums him/herself and listen to the sound produced.</p> <p>3. Discovery and production of sounds within the human body, such as clapping hands or striking the ground. The child is encouraged to clap hands and strike the ground and listen to the sound he/she produces while a song is sung by the teacher. This song is predominantly based on the following rhythm, and its melody is based on the second interval in the Do major scale.</p>  <p>4. The teacher sings a song based on Mozart’s clock piece. The tune is composed mostly using the third interval in the Do major scale. This melody is also used by the teacher as the basic melody for the greeting and other communications in class.</p> 
Singing	<p>1. The children are encouraged to sing meaningful words in the group.</p> <p>2. In the next step, the children are asked to tune their singing with the percussion instruments. Their teacher accompanies the children in this fun musical teamwork exercise.</p>
Rhythmic movements with music	<p>1. The children are taught to perform within a 4/4 time signature by clapping their hands while singing the rhythm and melody described above.</p>
Playing musical instruments	<p>1. At this stage, children learn to play percussion instruments with simple rhythmic patterns. This exercise is designed take the form of a play. In this play children are asked to enter a predetermined passage which is signed by musical symbols. The children should play a certain rhythm using percussion instruments whenever they reach a musical sign.</p>

children with hearing loss have a developmental delay in their language acquisition, but no other disorder of language is observed (8). The major issue is that for many candidates of cochlear implant operation, the perfect age in terms of brain plasticity for native language acquisition of 0–3 years has already passed (9,10). In the current method, the authors recommend that cochlear-implant children be approached with the goal of compensating for this delay through the use of music in their education.

At birth, and even before birth, humans are prepared to acquire and appropriate the language and speech of their environment. Early interactions with this environment give individuals the opportunity to process sounds and to maximize language acquisition and production. Infants demonstrate a range of speech perception abilities before they can produce any structures of their first language. Speech perception research has revealed that these abilities not only provide the basis for learning native-language sound categories, but also the basis for learning syllable structure and segmenting and storing of words. Phylogeny, embryology, and the interaction between human biological mechanisms and their environment are connected to auditory perception from the time sounds reach the organism in uterus through to 12 months of age, when the first words are generally uttered. Language acquisition studies show that newborns prefer their mother's voice to the voices of other females. They also prefer to listen to infant directed speech (baby talk) which has a higher pitch, longer vowels, wider pitch variation and increased rhythmicity compared with adult-directed speech (11).

The neural mechanism underlying the language process is very similar to the process of language development. Auditory information is transformed from the medial geniculate body of the thalamus to the Heschl gyrus after a very primary process in the brain stem nuclei such as the inferior

colliculus, inferior olive, and cochlear nuclei. The Heschl gyrus, which is known as the primary auditory cortex and is located in the depth of the lateral sulcus, is tonotopically organized. When the auditory data reach this area, the first awareness of hearing is perceived. In the next steps the simply processed data from the Heschl gyrus are passed to secondary and association auditory areas in a hierarchical pattern. Thus, the simple patterns of language, free morphemes, and bound morphemes are interpreted prior to more complex features such as words and sentences (12). Interestingly, the brain areas known for the interpretation of music closely overlap with the areas specified for language processing. Examples include the Broca area which is involved in processing language grammar and in planning for motor aspects of language such as organizing the laryngeal muscles to produce vowels and constants (13). Recent studies using the Event Related Potential (ERP) technique have shown that the Broca area functions as the main area for processing the syntax of music as well as language (13). It is known that the greater the area of the brain that is activated, the more synaptic learning and plasticity changes occur in that specific area (12). According to the principles of neural plasticity, music could improve language skills by activating the same areas for language processing in the brain. The results of various studies confirm this hypothesis. The impact of music therapy has been significant in patients with different language disorders, including aphasia and dyslexia (14,15). Studies on patients who use cochlear implants demonstrate that, like normal children, children with cochlear implants prefer singing to silence and may use musical stimulations as cues for linguistic recognition (9,16–18).

Musical training has many advantages compared with passive music therapy because children are particularly involved during music training courses, engaging their brains with various activities ranging from

singing to playing instruments. As expressed in one of the main principles in neuroplasticity, the “Donald Hebb rule”, neurons that fire together, wire together (19). Thus, motor, linguistic, and cognitive processes are better coordinated in children who participate in a music training course. Furthermore, since these children learn music within a group, their emotional and cognitive abilities are also improved. Experiencing problems in communicating with peers is one of the most painful issues that cochlear-implant children have to face. This prevents them from making friends and leaves them isolated among normal children. The fewer friends a child has, the less he speaks and plays. This is a vicious cycle, since the less a child speaks the less he can improve his communicative and linguistic skills.

In research conducted to date, many clinical studies have demonstrated the benefit of music in the improvement of cognitive, behavioral, language, and even motor disorders such as dementia, autism spectrum disorders, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, Parkinson’s disease, and aphasia (3,14,20–22).

Among the different types of music studied, Mozart’s works have been considered to be more effective in comparison with works of all other composers, such that a phenomenon known as “Mozart’s effect” which refers to an enhancement of performance or a change in neurophysiological activity associated with listening to Mozart music was established (23–25).

Animal studies have also confirmed the findings of these clinical studies in regard to the therapeutic effects of music. Prenatal exposure to Mozart’s music increases neurogenesis and dendritic branching in the hippocampus and enhances the spatial learning ability in the offspring of rats (26).

In the method presented in this study, almost all of the melodies are based on simple musical works by Mozart.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the effects of music on the human brain seem to be very promising and therapeutic in various types of disorders and conditions, including cochlear implantation. The method described in this study is based on recent research on language development and neuroscience of music. However, very few studies have been performed in this field. There is currently no randomized controlled trial published which predominantly investigates the impact of music training in children using cochlear implants. Thus, randomized controlled trials with an adequate sampling method are highly recommended.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the Shenavagostar Center and Sinus and Surgical Endoscopic Research Center of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences for their kind support.

References

1. Perani D, Saccuman MC, Scifo P, Spada D, Andreolli G, Rovelli R, et al. Functional specializations for music processing in the human newborn brain. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 2010; 107(10): 4758–63. Epub 2010/02/24.
2. Trappe HJ. Role of music in intensive care medicine. *In J Crit Illness Injur Sci* 2012; 2(1): 27–31. Epub 2012/05/25.
3. Raglio A, Bellelli G, Mazzola P, Bellandi D, Giovagnoli AR, Farina E, et al. Music, music therapy and dementia: a review of literature and the recommendations of the Italian Psychogeriatric Association *Maturitas* 2012; 72(4): 305–10. Epub 2012/06/30.
4. McDermott O, Crellin N, Ridder HM, Orrell M. Music therapy in dementia: a narrative synthesis systematic review. *Int J Ger Psych.* 2012. Epub 2012/10/20.
5. Gutsell KJ, Schluchter M, Margevicius S, Degolia PA, McLaughlin B, Harris M, et al. Music Therapy Reduces Pain in Palliative Care Patients: A

- Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Pain Sympmt Manag.* 2012. Epub 2012/09/29.
6. Waltzman S, Cohen NL, Spivak L, Ying E, Brackett D, Shapiro W, et al. Improvement in speech perception and production abilities in children using a multichannel cochlear implant. *Laryngoscope* 1990; 100(3): 240–3.
 7. Notoya M, Suzuki S, Furukawa M. Cochlear implant in a child with acquired deafness. *Nihon Jibiinkoka Gakkai Kaiho* 1996; 99(3): 379-84. Epub 1996/03/01.
 8. Paul R. *Language Disorders from Infancy through Adolescence: Listening, Speaking, Reading, Writing, and Communicating.* New York: Mosby; 2011. P. 154-76.
 9. Honjo I. Cochlear implant and the brain. *Practica Oto-Rhino-Laryngologica* 2012; 105(12): 1121–5.
 10. Vlastarakos PV, Proikas K, Papacharalampous G, Exadaktylou I, Mochloulis G, Nikolopoulos TP. Cochlear implantation under the first year of age--the outcomes. A critical systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol* 2010; 74(2): 119–26. Epub 2009/11/10.
 11. Bavin EL. *The Cambridge Handbook of Child Language:* Cambridge University Press; 2009.
 12. Kandel Eric R SJH, Jessell Thomas M. Principles of neural science. In: Sarah M, editor. 4th ed. Newyork: McGraw-Hill; 2000. p. 981-93.
 13. Sammler D, Koelsch S, Friederici AD. Are left fronto-temporal brain areas a prerequisite for normal music-syntactic processing? *Cortex* 2011; 47(6): 659–73. Epub 2010/06/24.
 14. Tomaino CM. Effective music therapy techniques in the treatment of nonfluent aphasia. *An NY Acad Sci* 2012; 1252: 312–7. Epub 2012/04/25.
 15. Cogo-Moreira H, Andriolo RB, Yazigi L, Ploubidis GB, Brandao de Avila CR, Mari JJ. Music education for improving reading skills in children and adolescents with dyslexia. *Cochrane database of Systematic Reviews* 2012; 8: CD009133. Epub 2012/08/17.
 16. Trehub SE, Vongpaisal T, Nakata T. Music in the lives of deaf children with cochlear implants. *An NY Acad Sci* 2009; 1169: 534–42.
 17. Limb CJ, Molloy AT, Jiradejvong P, Braun AR. Auditory cortical activity during cochlear implant-mediated perception of spoken language, melody, and rhythm. *J Assoc Res Otolaryng* 2010; 11(1): 133–43.
 18. Gfeller K, Driscoll V, Kenworthy M, Van Voorst T. Music therapy for preschool cochlear implant recipients. *Music Ther Persp* 2011; 29(1): 39–49.
 19. Cooper SJ, Donald O. Hebb's synapse and learning rule: a history and commentary. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews* 2005; 28(8): 851–74. Epub 2005/01/12.
 20. Rickson DJ. Instructional and improvisational models of music therapy with adolescents who have Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): A comparison of the effects on motor impulsivity. *J Music Ther* 2006; 43(1): 39–62.
 21. Corte B, Lodovici Neto P. Music therapy on Parkinson disease. *Ciencia & saude coletiva* 2009; 14(6): 2295–304. Epub 2010/01/14.
 22. Kim J, Wigram T, Gold C. Emotional, motivational and interpersonal responsiveness of children with autism in improvisational music therapy. *Autism: Int J Res Pract* 2009; 13(4): 389–409. Epub 2009/06/19.
 23. Marzban M. The effect of Mozart's music on hippocampal content of BDNF in ostonatal rats. *Eur J Neurol* 2012; 19: 475.
 24. Lemmer B. Effects of music composed by Mozart and Ligeti on blood pressure and heart rate circadian rhythms in normotensive and hypertensive rats. *Chronobiology Inter.* 2008; 25(6): 971–86. Epub 2008/11/14.
 25. Rauscher FH, Robinson KD, Jens JJ. Improved maze learning through early music exposure in rats. *Neurol Res* 1998; 20(5): 427–32. Epub 1998/07/17.
 26. Kim H, Lee MH, Chang HK, Lee TH, Lee HH, Shin MC, et al. Influence of prenatal noise and music on the spatial memory and neurogenesis in the hippocampus of developing rats. *Brain Dev Jpn.* 2006; 28(2): 109–14.