Iranian Journal of Otorhinolaryngology, Vol.32(3), Serial No.110, May 2020



Results of End-To-Side Hypoglossal-Facial Nerve Anastomosis in Facial Paralysis after Skull Base Surgery

Sasan Dabiri¹,(MD); Mohammadtaghi Khorsandi Ashtiani¹,(MD); Melorina Moharreri¹, (MD); Zahra Mahvi Khomami¹,(MD); Ali Kouhi¹,(MD); Nasrin Yazdani¹,(MD); Pedram Borghei,(MD); *Kayvan Aghazadeh¹,(MD)

Abstract

Introduction:

The primary aim of facial reanimation surgery is to restore tone, symmetry, and movement to the paralyzed face. Hypoglossal-facial end-to-side anastomosis provides satisfactory facial reanimation in the irreversible proximal injury of the facial nerve. This study discussed the facial function results of end-to-side anastomosing of hypoglossal nerve to facial nerve when the injury occurred during skull base surgery.

Materials and Methods:

The present study enrolled a total of 10 patients who underwent end-to-side hypoglossal-facial nerve anastomosis after facial nerve paralysis due to skull base surgery. The data of the patients were gathered from hospital records, pictures, and movies during the 18 months of follow-up.

Results:

At the 18 months of follow-up, seven (70%) and three (30%) patients were reported with grades III and IV of the House-Brackmann scoring system, respectively. In total, out of the seven grade III patients, six subjects underwent early anastomosis (within the first year of the paralysis). On the other hand, among patients with grade IV, two subjects had late anastomosis.

Conclusion:

It seems that early end-to-side hypoglossal-facial anastomosis can be a favorable surgical option with good facial function results for reanimating the facial function of patients with facial paralysis following skull base surgery.

Keywords:

Facial nerve paralysis, Hypoglossal-facial nerve anastomosis, Rehabilitation.

Received date: 24 Nov 2018 Accepted date: 21 May 2019

^{*}Please cite this article as: Dabiri S, Khorsandi Ashtiani MT, Moharreri M, Mahvi Khomami Z, Kouhi A, Yazdani N, Borghei P, Aghazadeh K. Results of End-To-Side Hypoglossal-Facial Nerve Anastomosis in Facial Paralysis after Skull Base Surgery. Iran J Otorhinolaryngol.2020:32(3); 133-138. Doi: 10.22038/ijorl.2019.36294.2194

¹Otorhinolaryngology Research Center, Amir Alam Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. *Corresponding Author:

Otorhinolaryngology Research Center, Amir Alam Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. E-mail: Aghazadeh@sina.tums.ac.ir

Introduction

When the disruption of the nerve occurs, the elasticity of the endoneurium causes the retraction of the injured ends. Nerve vasculature traumatized, inflammation with secondary activation of fibroblasts leads to the formation of a dense scar at the site of injury. The diameter of the proximal side is generally reduced due to the functional loss of connection between the end-organ muscle and nerve Schwann cells. Nerve potentials at the distal side of injury are present until degeneration happens. Therefore. evaluation of nerve function and severity of the injury should not be performed by electrophysiological studies during the first several weeks.

Wallerian degeneration begins after injury in hours, and both neuron and myelin sheath are affected. The aforementioned process is usually completed by 2 months. The endoneurial tubes shrink and their sheath thickens due to collagen deposition. The regeneration of the cut axon toward its correct muscle fibers depends on guidance by the basal Schwann cell lamina (i.e., axonotmesis) or grafted basal lamina (i.e., neurotmesis). Nerve regeneration continues for months to years (1).

The primary aims of facial reanimation surgery are the restoration of the tone, symmetry, and movement of the paralyzed face and subsequent improvement in the quality of life. There are many reliable techniques available to achieve these aims, and treatment plans can be tailored to the patient's situation (2,3).

Classic hypoglossal-facial neurorrhaphy has been considered an effective strategy for the reanimation of the paralyzed face. It is performed when a proximal facial nerve segment is unavailable for reconstruction, such as after ablative tumor surgery or trauma involving the cranial base (4).

End-to-side hypoglossal-facial nerve anastomosis (HFA) results in satisfactory facial reanimation after irreversible proximal nerve injury. In this technique, the epineurium of the distal end of the facial nerve and proximal end of the hypoglossal nerve are coapted together through a window created in the hypoglossal nerve (5-7). By the end-to-side technique, the major complications of the complete transaction of the 12th cranial nerve, such as the atrophy of the tongue and problem with swallowing in the oral phase, could be preventable (5,7-11).

The time between facial nerve injury and HFA is a significant factor for the ultimate facial nerve outcome (10,12). The HFA during the first 2 years following an injury to the facial nerve could be accompanied by the best outcomes (9,13). The identification of a surgical technique with the best results of facial reanimation for proximal facial nerve injury is still a debate. Han and the colleagues demonstrated that facial function outcomes in split type HFA are more favorable than those reported for endhypoglossal-facial to-side anastomosis (14). However, some authors believe that the facial function outcomes of end-to-side HFA are like the outcomes of the classic type of HFA but with less lingual morbidity (5-11).

The evaluation of the facial nerve function after surgery is usually performed using the House-Brackmann (HB) scoring system which categorizes the patients into grades I to VI based on the facial tone, symmetry, and movement (15). The current study evaluated the facial function and tongue morbidity after end-to-side HFA in patients with proximal facial nerve injury due to skull base surgery.

In an animal model study on the facial nerve regeneration on 12 rats, the subjects were divided into six groups that underwent facial nerve cutting and repairing by suturing (16). The rats were sacrificed and histologically studied at 1, 3, 5, 7, and 9 weeks after the surgery. Based on the results, 50% and 75% of functional recoveries were observed in animals within

the 3rd and 5th weeks, as well as in the 9th week, respectively. While the earliest factor that has been restored was the eye closure in this survey (and was more easily observable), the vibrissae movement restoration was the slowest item. Such observation is under that reported by other authors who emphasized that the partial improvement of eye closure is the earliest sign of neural recovery in rodents.

Materials and Methods

Patients

A total of 10 patients (4 males and 6 females) with proximal facial nerve injury during skull base surgery who underwent end-to-side HFA from April 2009 to March 2013 have been studied. As the proximal end of the facial nerve in the cerebello-pontine angle area had been

loosed during these surgeries, the interposition nerve grafting have not been possible and they have undergone the nerve anastomosis. The patients' age were within the range of 24-56 years old (average age: 44.5 years). All the subjects were reported with grade VI facial nerve paralysis following the excision of skull base pathologies.

The etiology of the paralyzes cholesteatoma (involving the internal auditory canal) in five patients, jugular paraganglioma in two patients, cerebellopontine angle tumor in two subjects, and squamous cell carcinoma of the temporal bone in one case (Table 1). In this study, six (60%) and four (40%) patients underwent anastomosis within the first year of paralysis (group A) and after one year (group B), respectively. In addition, six (60%) and four (40%) subjects suffered from the right-side and left-side paralysis, respectively.

Table 1: Descriptive information of patients and their final facial nerve functional status.

No.	Gender	Age (year)	Duration of paralysis ^a	Final HB grade ^b	Paralysis site	Etiology
1	Male	24	A	3	Right	Cholesteatoma
1					Č	
2	Male	33	В	3	Right	Cholesteatoma
3	Male	46	A	3	Left	Vestibular schwannoma
4	Male	50	В	4	Left	Cholesteatoma
5	Female	56	В	4	Right	Jugular paraganglioma
6	Female	44	A	3	Right	Temporal tumor
7	Female	56	A	3	Right	Cholesteatoma
8	Female	40	A	4	Right	Cholesteatoma
9	Female	43	В	3	Left	Jugular paraganglioma
10	Female	53	A	3	Left	Vestibular schwannoma

a: A: anastomosis within 12 months of paralysis; B: anastomosis after 12 months of paralysis

Surgical technique

An approximately 8 cm incision was made which started at the lower third of the postauricular area (1 cm behind the sulcus and over the mastoid tip) and extended parallel to the sternocleidomastoid muscle at the anterior side. The anterior third of the attachment of the sternocleidomastoid muscle was cut to get exposure for the mastoid process and stylomastoid area. If the mastoid process has not been resected in the earlier temporal surgery for the elimination of disease, its partial resection for the evaluation of the facial nerve under the microscopic vision is mandatory. The mastoid part of the facial nerve (from the

second genu to the stylomastoid foramen) has been skeletonized with the diamond burr and prepared for mobilizing from the bed. This part of the technique increases the length of the facial nerve for anastomosis. Chorda tympani was sectioned from the facial nerve, and the nerve was cut at the level of the second genu in a beveled cross-section. Then, the nerve could be mobilized up to pes anserinus and was ready. The preferred location of facial nerve cutting was around the first genu, and cable grafting was used if facial nerve cutting was mandatory in pes anserinus. By exposing the hypoglossal nerve behind the internal jugular vein at the

b: House-Brackmann grading system

level of the lateral process of the axis, it was proximally dissected as much as possible. An oblique incision was rostrally performed along the one half of the hypoglossal nerve diameter, just proximal to the branching of the descending hypoglossi.

The free end of the facial nerve approximated to the incised area of the hypoglossal nerve in a tension-free state. The epineurium of the facial nerve was sutured with 9-0 monofilament nylon in three or four points to the proximal surface of the incised hypoglossal nerve. Several approximations of the surrounding tissues were conducted by the sutures to decrease the tension of the anastomosis. After the hemostasis, the surgical incision was closed in two layers.

All surgical anastomoses were performed by one surgeon. All the patients had follow-up visits for 18 months after the surgery. The data of the subjects were gathered from the hospital records, including pictures and movies. The assessment of the facial nerve function was based on the HB scoring system.

Results

A total of 10 patients with iatrogenic proximal facial nerve paralysis due to skull base surgery who underwent end-to-side HFA entered into the study. All these patients had complete facial nerve palsy (grade VI of HB scoring confirmed by electromyographic studies). After 18 months of follow-up, seven (70%) and three (30%) patients were reported with grades III and IV based on HB scoring, respectively (Fig.1).



Fig1: Facial nerve function in the end-to-side hypoglossal-facial anastomosis after 18 months

Among patients in group A (anastomosis within the first year of paralysis), one and five

subjects were reported with grade III and IV, respectively. In contrast, in group B (anastomosis after the first year of paralysis), two patients had grade IV, and two subjects were reported with grade III. None of the cases showed tongue atrophy as a complication of the surgery. The end-to-side method of HFA can decrease the incidence of tongue atrophy in patients.

Discussion

In order to recover the facial nerve function after paralysis due to iatrogenic proximal nerve injury, end-to-side HFA is an appropriate surgical approach. One of the advantages of this technique is the lower rate of surgical complications, such as tongue atrophy. When the surgeon is uncertain about the anatomical continuity of the facial nerve for spontaneous axonal regrowth, some authors prefer delaying surgery up to 2 years (17). However, when the nerve is anatomically disrupted, HFA should be performed for better functional results as soon as possible after injury (5,6,18).

Another study has reported a direct relationship between the duration of paralysis and outcome in patients with longstanding facial paralysis (19). In a study by Slattery and the colleagues, they have studied 19 cases (18 patients with HB grade VI and 1 subject with HB grade V) and showed that after end-to-side HFA, 36.8%, 47.4%, and 15.8% of the cases were reported with HB grades III, IV, and V in a mean follow-up period of 4 years, respectively (10). All HB grade III patients had HFA within 6 months of injury. By the use of the same technique for 15 patients, another study reported 73%, 20%, and 7% of the subjects with grades III, IV, and V, with a median follow-up of 57.7 months, respectively. Less satisfactory results were observed when patients were managed in more than 2 years after nerve injury (9,11). In another series, 12 patients that five of them had HB grade V, six patients had HB grade VI, and the status of the last one was not scored, has been reported. After 12 months of follow-up following the use of the HFA technique, 70%, 20%, and 7% of the subjects were reported with grades III, IV, and V, respectively (20).

In the largest series of 24 patients studied by Martins and the colleagues, 71% (n=17), 20% (n=6), and 4% (n=1) of the patients achieved

grades III, IV, and V, respectively. He believed that the modified partial section of the hypoglossal nerve was as effective as classic hypoglossal-facial neurorrhaphy for facial reanimation⁵. In the present study, the patients who had anastomosis within the first year of paralysis showed better results (out of seven patients, five subjects with final grade III had the anastomosis within the first year of paralysis).

In a study by Samii et al., they followed the same procedure for 17 patients with HB grades V and VI. The mean time of anastomosis after the injury to the facial nerve was 18 months. In the aforementioned study, grades II, III, IV, and V were achieved in 5.8%, 76.5%, 11.7%, and 5.8% of the subjects, respectively (9). In the current study, after 18 months of follow-up, seven (70%) and three (30%) patients had HB grades III and IV, respectively, which is similar to the results of other studies (6,9,20).

A retrospective study conducted by Mohamed and the colleagues on 22 patients with preoperative facial paralysis HB grade VI compared different techniques, namely facial nerve interposition graft, end-to-end HFA, jump graft, and end-to-side HFA for facial nerve reconstruction (21). The end-to-side HFA was performed on four patients (two primary and two secondary nerve anastomoses). With these four cases achieving HB grade III after the surgery, they concluded that direct end-toside HFA was the best option in their series. However, Han et al. by the comparison of three surgical techniques (i.e., end-to-end, end-toside, and split anastomoses) on 14 patients (seven, three, and four patients, respectively) concluded that split type HFA resulted in more favorable outcomes (14).

The facial outcome was favorable (HB grades II and III) in 33.3% of end-to-side patients. In another study, the authors have concluded that no significant difference was observed between techniques, the two namely classic hypoglossal-facial neurorrhaphy and end-toside HFA (6). In a comparative study carried out by Socolovsky and his colleagues on 77 patients with proximal facial nerve injury and preoperative HB grade VI, the best outcome (HB<4) was observed in 92.2% of patients who had hemihypoglossal-facial neurorrhaphy that is side to end HFA (13). However, 54.5 % of the subjects who had hemihypoglossal-to-facial nerve transfer with an interposed sural nerve graft showed $HB \le 4$ improvement in contrast to 66.7 % of those undergoing the masseter-to-facial nerve transfer technique.

In the above-mentioned study, it was concluded that the side to end procedure the most satisfactory produces reanimation results (13). Therefore, there is much debate about exact facial function findings after this procedure and even selection of facial reanimation surgical technique in proximal facial nerve injuries, especially after skull base procedures. According to the literature, there is satisfactory tongue function following HFA (5,7-11). In the current study, there was no evidence of tongue atrophy during the follow-up. After the HFA procedure, all the patients in the present study had HB grade III or IV and preservation of tongue movement.

Conclusion

It seems that early end-to-side hypoglossalfacial anastomosis can be a favorable surgical option with good facial function results for reanimating the facial function in patients with the paralysis of the facial nerve after skull base surgery. Recommending to carry out further studies with larger sample size might be more informative.

Acknowledgment

This Research has conducted as a medical graduation thesis at Amir Alam Hospital which has affiliated by Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

References

- 1. Yang LJS, Chung KC. Physiology of nerve injury and regeneration. In: Yang LJS, Chung KC, McGillicuddy JE (editors). Practical management of pediatric and adult brachial plexus palsies, 1st ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier Saunders; 2012: 13-20.
- **2.** Luijmes RE, Pouwels S, Beurskens CHG, Kleiss IJ, Siemann I, Ingels KJAO. Quality of life before and after different treatment modalities in peripheral facial palsy: A systematic review. Laryngoscope. 2017; 127(5): 1044-51.
- **3.** Guntinas-Lichius O, Genther DJ, Byrne PJ. Facial Reconstruction and Rehabilitation. Adv Otorhinolaryngol. 2016; 78: 120-31.
- **4.** Pitty LF, Tator CH. Hypoglossal-facial nerve anastomosis for facial nerve palsy following

- surgery for cerebellopontine angle tumors. J Neurosurg. 1992; 77(5): 724-31.
- **5.** Darrouzet V, Guerin J, Bébéar JP. A new technique of side-to-end hypoglossal-facial nerve attachment with translocation of the infratemporal facial nerve. J Neurosurg. 1999; 90(1): 27-34.
- **6.** Martins RS, Socolovsky M, Siqueira MG, Campero A. Hemihypoglossal-facial neurorrhaphy after mastoid dissection of the facial nerve: results in 24 patients and comparison with the classic technique. Neurosurgery. 2008; 63(2): 310-7.
- 7. Sawamura Y, Abe H. Hypoglossal-facial nerve side-to-end anastomosis for the preservation of hypoglossal function: results of delayed treatment with a new technique. J Neurosurg. 1997; 86(2): 203-6.
- **8.** Rebol J, Milojkovic V, Didanovic V. Sideto-end hypoglossal-facial anastomosis via transposition of the intratemporal facial nerve. Acta Neurochir (Wien). 2006; 148: 653-7.
- **9.** Samii M, Alimohamadi M, Khouzani RK, Rashid MR, Gerganov V. Comparison of Direct Side-to-End and End-to-End Hypoglossal-Facial Anastomosis for Facial Nerve Repair. World Neurosurg. 2015; 84(2): 368-75.
- **10.** Slattery WH 3rd, Cassis AM, Wilkinson EP, Santos F, Berliner K. Side-to-end hypoglossal to facial anastomosis with transposition of the intratemporal facial nerve. Otol Neurotol. 2014; 35(3): 509-13.
- 11. Franco-Vidal V, Blanchet H, Liguoro D, Darrouzet V. [Side-to-end hypoglossal-facial nerve anastomosis with intratemporal facial nerve translocation. Long-term results and indications in 15 cases over 10 years]. Rev Laryngol Otol Rhinol (Bord). 2006; 127(1-2): 97-102 [abstract].
- 12. Yawn RJ, Wright HV, Francis DO, Stephan S, Bennett ML. Facial nerve repair after operative injury: Impact of timing on hypoglossal-facial nerve graft outcomes. Am J Otolaryngol. 2016; 37(6): 493-6.

- 13. Socolovsky M, Martins RS, di Masi G, Bonilla G, Siqueira M. Treatment of complete facial palsy in adults: a comparative study between direct hemihypoglossal-facial neurorrhaphy, hemihypoglossal- facial neurorrhaphy with grafts, and masseter to facial nerve transfer. Acta Neurochir (Wien). 2016;158:945-57.
- **14.** Han JH, Suh MJ, Kim JW, Cho HS, Moon IS. Facial reanimation using hypoglossal-facial nerve anastomosis after schwannoma removal. Acta Otolaryngol. 2017; 137(1): 99-105.
- **15.** House JW, Brackmann DE. Facial nerve grading system. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 1985; 93(2): 146-7.
- **16.** Borin A, Toledo RN, Faria SD, Testa JRG, Cruz OLM. Behavioral and histologic experimental model of facial nerve regeneration in rats. Rev Bras Otorrinolaringol. 2006; 72(6): 775-84.
- **17.** Atlas MD, Lowinger DSG. A new technique for hypoglossal-facial nerve repair. Laryngoscope. 1997; 107(7): 984-91.
- **18.** Arai H, Sato K, Yanai A. Hemihypoglossal-facial nerve anastomosis in treating unilateral facial palsy after acoustic neurinoma resection. J Neurosurg. 1995;82(1):51-4.
- **19.** Gavron JP, Clemis JD. Hypoglossal-facial nerve anastomosis: A review of forty cases caused by facial nerve injuries in the posterior fossa. Laryngoscope. 1984; 94(11): 1447-50.
- **20.** Venail F, Sabatier P, Mondain M, Segniarbieux F, Leipp C, Uziel A. Outcomes and complications of direct end-to-side facial-hypoglossal nerve anastomosis according to the modified May technique. J Neurosurg. 2009; 110(4): 786-91.
- **21.** Mohamed A, Omi E, Honda K, Suzuki S, Ishikawa K. Outcome of different facial nerve reconstruction techniques. Braz J Otorhinolaryngol. 2016; 82(6): 702-9.